

NU03 Takamiut 1927, 1964

Source: Graburn, Nelson H.H. (1969) *Eskimos without Igloos*. Boston: Little, Brown.

Location: North coast of Ungava Peninsula, Canada; 62 N, 75 W

Dates of census:

- **Period to which data pertain:** 1927, 1964
- **When collected:** 1964

Estimated population of Ungava Peninsula in 1969: 2000 (Graburn 1969:5)

Society codes: EA = none; OWC = none

File type: long interval diachronic with two data sets from the same location separated by 37 years. Since people in the 1927 set are parents of people in the 1964 set, and since both sets are small, I have put both into the same file to facilitate analysis of linkages between the villages through time. To separate them, sort or filter on variable 8.

Basis of genealogical diagrams: 9. ResGrp1 (1-2) Villages: 1=1927 village, 2=1964 village.

Number of living people: 49

Number of data records: 64

KEY

1. ID number
2. Life status 1=alive, 0=dead
3. Sex: 1=male, 2=female
4. Age: unknown. The 1927 data set contains all people, but the 1964 set contains ADULTS ONLY.
5. Current marital status: 0=not applicable, 1=never married, 2=married, 4=widowed
6. Father's ID
7. Mother's ID
8. Spouse's ID
9. ResGrp1 (1-2) Villages: 1=1927 village, 2=1964 village
10. ID code used in source document.

QUICK TABULATION

	Male	Female	Unknown	Total
Living 1927	14	13	0	27
Living 1964	11	11	0	22
Dead	8	7	0	15
Total	33	31	0	64

Table 1. Life status by sex.

	Male	Female	Unknown	Total
Never married	8	6	0	14
Married	6	6	0	12
Divorced	0	0	0	0
Widowed	0	1	0	1
Total	14	13	0	27

Table 2a. Marital status (1927) among living by sex.

	Male	Female	Unknown	Total
Never married*	0	0	0	0
Married	10	10	0	20
Divorced	0	0	0	0
Widowed	1	1	0	2
Total	11	11	0	22

Table 2b. Marital status (1964) among living by sex.

* This data set contains ADULTS ONLY.

Variable number	Variable name and values	Number of people	Mean size of residential units
8	Villages		
	V1=1927	27	**
	V2=1964	18	**

** Since the 1927 data set contains both adults and children, but the 1964 data set contains adults only, it would be misleading to compute the mean size of the two groups.

Table 3. Residential group sizes.